Rye City Planning Commission Minutes

January 9, 2007

MEETING ATTENDANCE: Planning Commission Members: Other: Barbara Cummings, Chair Christian K. Miller, AICP, City Planner Martha Monserrate, Vice-Chair George Mottarella, P.E., City Engineer Nick Everett Chantal Detlefs, City Naturalist Hugh Greechan Joe Murphy, CC/AC Chair JoAnn Rispoli, Secretary Peter Larr George Pratt (arrived Late) Jim Nash Carolyn Cunningham I. **HEARINGS** None II. ITEMS PENDING ACTION 1. **Westchester Country Club** The City Planner advised that the applicant requested that the hearing be rescheduled for its next meeting. He advised that the hearing be rescheduled for the Commission's February 6, 2007 meeting. **ACTION:** Peter Larr made a motion, seconded by Carolyn Cunningham, that the Planning Commission re-set the public hearing for its next meeting on wetland permit application number WP199, which was carried by the following vote: Barbara Cummings, Chair: Absent Martha Monserrate, Vice- Chair: Aye Carolyn Cunningham Aye Nick Everett: Aye Hugh Greechan Absent Peter Larr: Ave George Pratt Absent

2. **Colahan Subdivision**

1

2 3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27 28

29 30

31 32 33

The Commission reviewed the draft resolution of approval and discussed the conditions providing for the protection of the beech tree in the front yard.

Rye City Planning Commission Minutes (Cont.)

January 9, 2007 Page 2 of 4

ACTION: Carolyn Cunningham made a motion, seconded by Peter Larr, that the Planning Commission approve subdivision application number SUB292, which was carried by the following vote:

Barbara Cummings, Chair:

Martha Monserrate, Vice- Chair:

Carolyn Cunningham

Nick Everett:

Hugh Greechan

Peter Larr:

George Pratt

Absent

Absent

Absent

Absent

3. 330 Stuyvesant Road

 Joe Latwin (applicant's attorney) stated that the plans had been revised to eliminate the swimming pool within the wetland buffer, straightened the proposed lot line between the two building lots and re-calculated the minimum lot area based on the deduction of wetlands on the property. Mr. Latwin stated that the existing boat house on the property is proposed to be removed since it falls in the middle of the relocated lot line.

 The Commission noted that the Board of Appeals (BOA) will conduct the LWRP Coastal Consistency review and that the Planning Commission will be advisory and providing Use Permitted Subject to Additional Standards and Requirements Approval. In order to provide these approvals the Commission questioned whether the applicant had any information on the intended use and users of the recreational use.

Mr. Latwin responded that the applicant had not obtained ownership of the
property and that it has no formal programs established at this time. The
Commission noted that it needed this information to make a decision on the
pending applications. The Commission suggested that the applicant should at
least define the conditions or restrictions under which the use is willing to
operate, however a more complete description of the use is preferred.

• Commission member George Pratt arrives to the meeting.

 The City Planner noted that further information and corrections are required to the zoning compliance table. He noted that the application does not appear to comply with the minimum lot area, maximum coverage, setback and FAR requirements for private recreational uses in a R-1 District. The City Planner also noted that the Zoning Code restricts private recreational uses to those not carried on primarily for gain (i.e. not-for-profit) organizations.

Rye City Planning Commission Minutes (Cont.)

January 9, 2007 Page 3 of 4

4.

44 • The Comm45 application

- The Commission requested that the application provide more information regarding the amount of off-street parking, noting that there are periodic parking problems at the site associated with the existing use. A smaller site without additional parking may complicate these existing deficiencies.
- The Commission requested that more information be provided regarding existing and proposed lighting, drainage, anticipated noise and hours of operation. The Commission was unable to evaluate the impact of the proposed use without knowing more about the applicant's anticipated users and intensity of use. Corey Rabin (applicant) stated that he was discussing a number of programs with a variety of groups, but nothing is confirmed at this time.
- The Commission noted concern with potential traffic and parking impacts. The Commission noted that more information should be provided regarding the anticipated traffic impacts (i.e. number of trips/volume) and the adequacy of area roadways and on-site parking. The Commission noted that the parking impacts associated with the YMCA could be a guide in evaluating anticipated impacts at the proposed facility. The YMCA has a number of programs that result in significant on-site parking constraints and impacts on the adjacent neighborhood. The Commission stated that more information regarding the proposed use of the recreational facility was necessary to adequately assess potential impacts.
- The Commission requested that the applicant provide information regarding the adequacy of the vehicle sight distance at the existing and proposed driveways.
- In response to the Commission's inquiry, the City Planner stated that once approvals are granted by the City (including subdivision, modified use permit, LWRP Coastal Consistency) for the recreational use, it could likely be converted to a single-family residence consistent with zoning without any additional approvals from a discretionary land use board. The Commission noted that if that were to occur, the applicant's intention to preserve the existing waterfront recreational use without any other commitments or guarantees would not advance LWRP policies. The Commission requested that the applicant provide an appropriate commitment as part of the application that would advance the City's LWRP policies in the event of the failure of the recreational use or its conversion to a non-water dependant or enhanced use.
- The Commission declared its intent to be Lead Agency under SEQRA and requested that this be coordinated with the City Board of Appeals.
- The Commission noted that it had conducted a site walk of the property. The application involves a request to maintain Belgium block curbing installed along

5 Pine Island Road

Rye City Planning Commission Minutes (Cont.)

January 9, 2007 Page 4 of 4

the applicant's property with Pine Island Road. The Commission noted that since Pine Island is a private road the City's only jurisdiction relates to a wetland permit for the placement of a structure within 100-feet of a tidal wetland.

3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

1

2

 The Commission noted that the curbing causes the ponding of water on Pine Island Road. The Commission recommended that the plan be revised to include breaks in the curbing to allow for the flow of stormwater and the removal of the soil placed behind the curb by the applicant. The plan should incorporate a swale on the applicant's property. These plan modifications will allow for the ponding of stormwater on the applicant's property and replicate pre-development conditions.

11 12

• Harry Lengsfield (property owner) stated that the drainage problem existed prior to the installation of any curbing, but that he would revise the plan to address the Commission's concerns.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

 The Commission noted that the roadway has been narrowed by the placement of the curbing and the rocks opposite the property. This could make two-way vehicle traffic difficult to pass one another. Mr. Lengsfield noted that the rocks opposite his property existed and that traffic volumes are low. The Commission agreed that this was not an issue for their consideration since it involved a private road.

222324

 The Commission agreed that it would set the public hearing after it received a revised site plan.

262728

25

5. 7 South Manursing Island

30 31 32

29

 Glen Rosen (applicant's engineer) stated that the proposed project would involve constructing a rear terrace addition and other modifications to the rear of an existing residence. He noted that the project would result in a 532 square-foot increase in impervious area on the property.

34 35

36

37

33

 The Commission agreed that since the stone terrace was not set in stone dust that it should be considered impervious and required mitigation plantings. The Commission requested that the applicant provide a revised site plan with 1,064 square feet of mitigation plantings.

38 39 40

• Jim Nash (CC/AC member) requested that the plan be revised to clarify the location of the trench drain on the plan.

41 42 43

44

• The Commission agreed that it would set the public hearing after it received a revised site plan.